ANNEX A (NOT-051)

Representations



|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Representative** | **Date**  | **Response (in full)** |
| (1) | Member of the public**Objection** | 08-Jul-24 | I wish to object to the proposed traffic calming measures TRO - 411 on the basis of cost benefit. The proposal offers no evidence of the risks posed by the existing 30mph limit and therefore no reason to change it. There is also no estimate of cost attached to this proposal so again it is rather meaningless. Money is short and appears likely to be better spent elsewhere.If there is evidence concerning likely risks and likely costs, where can the public view this evidence it please?In the meantime, for this tax paying Olney resident, your document seems to be paying lip service to the idea of consultation? |
| (2) | Member of the public**Objection** | 16-Jul-24 | I wish to comment on the above proposal to introduce traffic calming on Yardley Road Olney. I live with my family on one of the streets (\*\*\*\*\*) that is very close to Yardley Road.I am very firmly against such a measure. Wasting taxpayers money on “traffic calming” and enforcement is not what MKCC should be doing to improve traffic congestion (and safety) in Olney. What you should be doing is using this money to tackle antisocial parking instead.The main issue with Yardley Road is NOT speeding - it’s inconsiderate / illegal parking by parents / Grandparents dropping off or waiting to collect children from Olney Middle School! Often cars totally block Yardley Road making it impossible for other road users to use. I have seen parents / grandparents parking on Yardley Road up to half an hour before the school closes (with engines running) just to secure a place as near to the school as possible. You need to put double yellow lines on BOTH sides of Yardley Road at least 200 meters EACH side of OMS and then enforce them with fines.So to summarize - please don’t waste our tax payers money on useless / unnecessary traffic calming measures when what you need to do is sort out the parking by OMS parents |
| (3) | Member of the public**Objection** | 23-Jun-24 | I object (don't support) the installation of road humps along Yardley Road, Olney.You say the Council considers it expedient to introduce the traffic calming measures:*• to facilitate the expeditious, convenient, and safe movement of pedestrians and cyclists along Yardley Road in Olney.**• for avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising.*But there have been zero incidents during the last 5 years reported on crashmap.Speed humps are a menace for those with osteoporosis and bad backs, and emergency vehicles.They are bad for the environment (noise and pollution by cars speeding up/slowing down in-between), and vehicle wear & tear.In my experience speed humps don’t match the permissible speed limit of the road.If you still feel there is a need not backed up by the data, then please use alternative calming / enforcement. |