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**July 2024**

**Regulation 18   
Consultation questions**

**MK City Plan 2050.**

**About the consultation**

**Local authorities such as Milton Keynes City Council must set out plans to provide more homes. Our aim is to get the right type of development in the right places to meet the growing needs of local people and businesses, while protecting our green spaces and rural areas.**

We want to make sure infrastructure is put first (such as health facilities, schools and shops). We want to ensure developers provide what communities need to benefit local people. We also want Milton Keynes to grow sustainably, tackling and reducing the impact of climate change.

To do this, we create what’s called a local plan and review it every five years. Our current plan (Plan:MK) is now five years old, and we’ve been working to create a new plan to help deliver our ambitions for the future of MK.

Our new plan, the MK City Plan 2050, is an important planning document that sets out how Milton Keynes will change and grow in the years ahead. It guides where future development should happen in the city until 2050, and where it shouldn’t in order to protect our environment.

If we don’t produce a plan, we risk having very little influence over where new development happens and will not be able to take an infrastructure first approach.

The Regulation 18[[1]](#footnote-2) consultation on the draft MK City Plan 2050 is open from **17 July** **2024** until **5pm on 9 October 2024**. The consultation documents are on our website: <https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/city-plan>

You can submit your comments online by following the link above which is our preferred method. Alternatively, you can email or post your comments to us.

The questions in this document replicate those on our City Plan 2050 Consultation Portal.

We will consider all comments submitted during this consultation as we prepare the final version of the MK City Plan 2050. We will then invite comments on the final Plan next year and explain how we have taken account of comments received during this consultation.

Where there are groups who share a common view on how they wish to see the MK City Plan 2050 progress, it would be very helpful for that group to send a single response which represents the view, rather than for many individuals to send in separate comments which repeat the same points. In such cases, the group should indicate how many people it is representing and how the response has been authorised. All responses will be given equal weight.

Please do not send additional or duplicate copies of responses in more than one format (e.g. an electronic version and a hard copy). Please note that your name, organisation (where applicable) and copies of all comments will be made available for the public to view, and therefore cannot be treated as confidential.

Please return written responses by post or email **by 5pm on 9 October 2024**, to:

Development Plans  
Milton Keynes City Council   
Civic  
1 Saxon Gate East  
Milton Keynes  
MK9 3EJ

[ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk](mailto:ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk)

If you have any questions, please contact us by email at [ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk](mailto:ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk) or by telephone on 01908 252358.

If you need any of the consultation documents in a different format like accessible PDF, large print, easy read, audio recording, or braille, or if you find another accessibility problem, please email [ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk](mailto:ncp.engagement@milton-keynes.gov.uk) or telephone 01908 252358.

Our privacy notice is available here: [Development management privacy notice | Milton Keynes City Council (milton-keynes.gov.uk)](https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/milton-keynes-council/privacy-notices/service-privacy-notices/development-management-privacy-notice)

Please use the QR code below to view all the consultation material.



**Consultation questions**

**The questions in this document replicate those on our online consultation page. You do not need to answer every question. There is an open question at the end where you can make general comments and/or suggestions.**

Most of the questions ask if you agree with our proposed policies, and if you have any other comments or suggestions about the policies. Where there are issues that need further consideration, we have asked specific questions. You can focus only on the sections you wish to comment on, and you do not have to answer every question.

The questions follow the order of the draft Plan, and the introductory text at the beginning of each section is taken from the draft Plan to give context.

Please respond to the questions as a separate document/email. If you are commenting on a specific policy or section, please make this clear in your response.

If you would like to put a site forward to be considered for inclusion within the Land Availability Assessment, please visit: [Land Availability Assessment | Milton Keynes City Council (milton-keynes.gov.uk)](https://www.milton-keynes.gov.uk/planning-and-building/planning-policy/land-availability-assessment)

**Our ambition and objectives for growth**

The MK City Plan 2050 will take forward, and should be read alongside, our Strategy for 2050 which sets out a bold vision for Milton Keynes. This vision seeks to bring transformational change, whilst protecting that which is unique to Milton Keynes and will play an important role in our future success. The Strategy for 2050 also provides important context that has been integrated into the City Plan’s evidence base and policies. An integrated plan is one that will consider and balance a range of difficult, and at times competing, things and do this in a way that helps growth to be virtuous and beneficial to the communities living in Milton Keynes today and tomorrow.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 1 – our ambition and objectives for growth**   1. Do you agree with our ambition and objectives for growth? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |

**Our growth strategy**

The Strategy for 2050 has established the Council’s ambition for growth through to 2050. It was prepared following extensive community engagement and in response to the recommendations of the MK Futures 2050 Commission. Our ambition is to reach a population of 410k people within Milton Keynes by 2050, principally through sustainable and transformational growth of the city supported by significant investment in infrastructure. As outlined, this has been translated to a specific Ambition and set of Objectives for the MK City Plan 2050.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 2 – Policy GS1 Our spatial strategy**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 3 – Policy GS2 Strategy for homes**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 4 – Policy GS3 Strategy for economic prosperity**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Milton Keynes is an attractive location for warehousing and logistics uses on account of its central location and availability of large flat sites near to the M1 motorway. However, a call for sites suitable for warehousing solicited very few responses. Are there any other sites the Council should be considering for warehousing? If land suitable for warehousing is in short supply, how should the Council be planning for warehousing in the future? 4. How can the plan encourage the repurposing, refurbishment, and redevelopment of the stock of ageing commercial buildings within the city? 5. Around 90% of all businesses in Milton Keynes have nine employees or less. What should the plan do to encourage the provision of premises for these businesses and better support business start-ups and scale-ups? 6. What further could the plan do to support inward investment into Milton Keynes? Are there particular sectors that the plan should be supporting through allocation or general land use policies? Are there any policies in the plan that may inadvertently deter or fail to maximise investment into the city? 7. How could the plan further support the skills agenda in Milton Keynes? 8. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 5 – Policy GS4 Strategy for people friendly and healthy places**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 6 – Policy GS5 Our retail hierarchy**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 7 – Policy GS6 Open countryside**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 8 – Policy GS7 Wind turbine and solar PV spatial strategy**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 9 – Policy GS8 Hanslope Park**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 10 – Policy GS9 Supporting growth with infrastructure**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. We want growth to take an infrastructure-first approach. Whilst we are often responsible for the delivery of some types of infrastructure (e.g. schools) we are reliant on partners and other organisations to deliver some infrastructure types. Do you have any comments on our infrastructure-first approach set out in Policy GS9 and how we can best implement this through the plan, our Infrastructure Delivery Plan and Milton Keynes Infrastructure Study and Strategy which have been published alongside the plan? 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 11 – Policy GS10 Movement and access**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Should the plan be allocating a site for a lorry park to support this sector whilst mitigating some of the issues associated with lorry parking? If so, where should this best be located and what would be the parameters guiding its layout, design, and relationship with surrounding land uses/neighbours? 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 12 – Policy GS11 Principles for extensions to the city**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 13 – Policy GS12 Redevelopment of Wolverton Railway Works**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 14 – Policy GS13 Redevelopment of Walton Campus**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 15 – Policy GS14 Eastern Strategic City Extension**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 16 – Policy GS15 East of Wavendon Strategic City Extension**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 17 – Policy GS16 Wavendon Strategic Buffers**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 18 – Policy GS17 South of Bow Brickhill Strategic City Extension**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 19 – Policy GS18 Levante Gate Strategic City Extension**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 20 – Policy GS19 Shenley Dens Strategic City Extension**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |

**Central Milton Keynes**

There is a lot to be positive about Central Milton Keynes (CMK) as it is today, but we cannot be complacent about our future or ignore the challenges that the city centre currently faces. We must learn from the past and balance our desire to celebrate the unique qualities of CMK whilst adapting to new opportunities, priorities and demands.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 21 – Our ambition and objectives for Central Milton Keynes**   1. Do you agree with our objectives for Central Milton Keynes? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 22 – Policy CMK1 Central Milton Keynes Placemaking Principles**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 23 – Policy CMK2 Central Milton Keynes Development Framework Area**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. We are working with the Open University on plans for an undergraduate university in Central Milton Keynes. What is needed from an undergraduate university to maximise its economic benefits? Traditionally, universities have played an important role in the incubation of new businesses and enabled people to develop and gain new skills. What else should be offered by this anchor institution? 4. We are developing proposals for a Tech and Innovation Quarter within the city centre, as a focus for our tech, digital and knowledge-intensive industries and building stronger links with higher education. Are there any facilities or uses that should also be encouraged as part of the Downtown area to help enable the delivery of the Tech and Innovation Quarter? 5. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 24 – Policy CMK3 Supporting a thriving CMK**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |

**Central Bletchley**

Plan:MK (March 2019) contains strategic policy, Policy SD16, which sets out some high-level principles for the development of the Central Bletchley. This policy framework was then complemented by the adoption of the Central Bletchley Urban Design Framework Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) in April 2022. The SPD provides more detailed advice or guidance of what is expected of development proposals in the Central Bletchley area.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 25 – Policy CB1 Supporting investment in Central Bletchley**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |

**People friendly and healthy places**

People friendly and healthy place-making is about creating places that are safe, environmentally sensitive, accessible and inclusive. They have a mix of everyday community amenities and uses in the right locations which are provided at the right time in the development process. They exhibit high quality urban design, architecture and public realm and create places with identity. The policies within this chapter are intended to ensure development delivers such places.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 26 – Policy PFHP1 Delivering Healthier Places**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. We acknowledge that good design and feeling safe and secure in our places can help to improve mental health and well-being. The National Suicide Prevention Strategy 2023-2028 states that major development proposals should consider the risk of suicide in public locations. Would you support policy to address this, and if so, what should it contain? 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 27 – Policy PFHP2 Provision and Protection of Community Amenities**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 28 – Policy PFHP3 New Local Centres**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 29 – Policy PFHP4 Delivering and healthier food environment**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 30 – Policy PFHP5 Designing People Friendly Places**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Should Building for a Healthy Life be used to assess major residential development proposals? Are there other assessment tools and methods that could be used? 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 31 – Policy PFHP6 Designing Healthy Streets**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 32 – Policy PFHP7 Well-designed buildings**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 33 – A new MK Design Code**   1. Do you agree with the vision for the Design Code? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Will this vision support Our objective of People Friendly and Healthy Places? 4. Do the proposed area types represent an appropriate spatial framework for the authority-wide design code? 5. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |

**High quality homes**

An essential part of creating people friendly and healthy places is ensuring there is a wide choice of high-quality homes that meet the needs of different people in Milton Keynes. In particular, larger schemes provide significant opportunities to create or sustain inclusive, mixed and balanced communities.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 34 – Policy HQH1 Healthy homes**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. What will be the best way for us to maximise delivery of self- and custom-build homes to meet our identified needs? Would it be appropriate to include a requirement for strategic allocations to provide a certain percentage of self- and custom-build homes? If so, what should that percentage be? Should smaller scale sites also provide an element of self- and custom-build homes? Should we consider allocating small-scale self-and custom-build sites? 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 35 – Policy HQH2 Affordable homes**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? 2. The proposed tenure mix meets the identified requirement in our background evidence. Is the proposed tenure split likely to be deliverable in practice across various types of residential development? Would an alternative tenure split be better for certain types of residential development? Please state what this could be and why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 36 – Policy HQH3 Supported and specialist homes**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. The draft policy includes specific requirements for care homes, sheltered homes and extra care homes to meet our identified needs. We also have identified needs for other types of supported and specialist homes. How should the Plan best help these to be delivered? Should we consider making specific allocations for supported accommodation or accommodation with support? Is there another way the Plan can help enable delivery of other types of supported accommodation? 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 37 – Policy HQH4 Supporting regeneration and renewal**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 38 – Policy HQH5 Homes for co-living**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 39 – Policy HQH6 Houses in multiple occupation**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 40 – Policy HQH7 Pitches for Gypsies and Travellers**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 41 – Policy HQH8 Accommodation for boat dwellers**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 42 – Policy HQH9 Exception sites**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |

**Climate and environmental action**

There are a range of issues relating to the physical environment, climate change and sustainable travel in Milton Keynes. These are all interconnected and link into, and influence, the wider climate environment at the regional, national, and global scales. Action on these issues at a local level will improve the situation locally and contribute to reducing these climate change and environmental issues at the global scale. To help us understand better the challenges and opportunities the MK City Plan 2050 faces on this front, we have prepared significant and pioneering evidence. This includes our Carbon and Climate Study which provides a comprehensive assessment and set of policy recommendation on how we can help achieve our ambitions to be carbon negative by 2050. We have also prepared our Nature, Green and Blue Infrastructure Study to consider in an integrated and holistic way, the best approach to planning for nature, biodiversity and access to nature for our communities.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 43 – Policy CEA1 Sustainable Buildings**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Within Policy CEA1 we are introducing new embodied carbon requirements for major developments, as well as a requirement for demolition and rebuild proposals to consider the embodied carbon that would be lost because of the proposed development. We have set out that we will require embodied carbon to be determined in accordance with the RICS Whole Life Carbon Assessment Guidance. However, we would be interested in hearing your thoughts on other industry accepted methodologies for calculating embodied carbon we might use. 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 44 – Policy CEA2 Green Roofs and Walls**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you agree with the types of buildings we seek to have green roofs and walls installed on? Do you think any other building types would be appropriate? 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 45 – Policy CEA3 Resilient Design**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 46 – Policy CEA4 Retrofitting**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 47– Policy CEA5 Water Efficiency**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 48 – Policy CEA6 Low and Zero Carbon Energy Provision**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 49 – Policy CEA7 Mitigating wider environmental pollution**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 50 – Policy CEA8 Provision and protection of accessible open space**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 51 – Policy CEA9 Biodiversity and Habitats Network**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 52 – Policy CEA10 Protection and enhancement of environmental infrastructure network, Priority Species and Priority Habitats**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 53 – Policy CEA11 Urban greening, trees and woodland**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. In relation to achieving an average green cover of 40% using our Urban Greening Factor standard, we are seeking views on what extent of geographical area or neighbourhood this should apply to for different types and scale of development. At present, the policy is flexible and allows interpretation, but we could frame this differently. For example, should we set out a clear matrix for different types and scale of development and corresponding geographical area they need to take into account? 4. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 54 – Policy CEA12 Conserving and Enhancing Landscape Character/Special Landscape Areas**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 55 – Policy CEA13 Sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) and integrated flood risk management**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 56 – Policy CEA14 Protecting and enhancing watercourses**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 57 – Policy CEA15 Managing flood risk**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |

**Economic and cultural prosperity**

Maintaining the foundation of much of our economic success – namely the land and buildings which provide space for business, whether that be for retail or other employment – is an important part of the MK City Plan 2050. However, we must recognise that changing economic conditions, technology, investment, consumer behaviour and demographics mean that a degree of flexibility is required to avoid deterring investment and keeping our city a prosperous place for our communities.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 58 – Policy ECP1 Protecting Employment Land and Buildings**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 59 – Policy ECP2 Supporting the vitality and viability of centres**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Policy ECP2 in the MK City Plan 2050 seeks to support and maintain the vitality and viability of centres within the Council’s retail hierarchy recognising that a balance needs to be struck between protecting the shopping function of these centres and allowing other uses such as restaurants and cafes within them. Permitting other uses within town centres can assist in the process of diversifying them and promote more activity outside normal shopping hours but risks undermining the traditional shopping function of town centres. Changes to the Government’s Use Classes Order, has meant many previously separate uses including shop/retail use, the sale of food & drink, financial services, gyms, healthcare, nurseries, offices etc are now in a single use class, class E. This has meant that buildings can change from shop use to another use without requiring planning permission. Does policy ECP2 in the plan strike the right balance between protecting the shopping function of town centres and allowing non-retail uses within them? 4. To protect the shopping function of town centres, the Council could seek to restrict permitted changes of use away from retail/shop uses through firstly the implementation of an Article 4 Direction (A4D) and secondly protecting new retail uses by condition. Once in force an A4D removes permitted development rights, so that within the area covered by the Direction an applicant wishing to change the use of a shop use to another use in class E must apply to the Council for planning permission for the change of use. However, ministerial approval is required for the A4D to come into effect and the bar for approval of the Direction is a high one. Please give your views on these options. 5. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 60 – Policy ECP3 Sequential and Impact Tests**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 61 – Policy ECP4 Supporting a Diverse Rural Economy**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |
| **Question 62 – Policy ECP5 Heritage**   1. Do you agree with the draft policy? Yes/No 2. Please say why. 3. How can the plan best promote Milton Keynes’ new town heritage for the benefit of its residents, visitors and to encourage investment and tourism? 4. What interventions should be taken to deliver an enhanced, competitive, and distinctive cultural offer that meets the needs of local communities and the visitor economy? 5. How should we ensure that growth at the edges of Milton Keynes and new development within the city preserves the distinctive character of existing designated and non-designated heritage assets? 6. Should we require that schemes be of an equal or higher architectural / placemaking quality than the locally important buildings they replace? 7. Our New Town Heritage Register will identify specific places, buildings and structures that are of local value. Details of this first group are available on the Council’s website. What other aspects of Milton Keynes’ original design should be retained to ensure that its unique, architectural character is retained, whilst encouraging positive growth? 8. Do you have any other comments or suggestions for this topic? |

**Supporting evidence and other comments**

Please use this section to provide comments on the supporting evidence, Sustainability Appraisal, Policies Maps, Key Diagram and/or let us know if you have any other comments.

|  |
| --- |
| **Question 63 – Evidence documents**   1. Do you have any comments on the evidence documents that support the plan?   Please indicate which evidence document(s) you are commenting on. |
| **Question 64 – Sustainability Appraisal**   1. Do you have any comments on the Sustainability Appraisal?   Please indicate which part(s) of the document you are commenting on. |
| **Question 65 – Policies Maps**   1. Do you have any comments on the Policies Maps?   Please indicate which map(s) you are commenting on. |
| **Question 66 – Key diagram**   1. Do you have any comments on the key diagram?   Please indicate which part of the key diagram you are commenting on. |
| **Question 67 – Other comments**   1. Do you have any other comments or suggestions?   Please be clear which part of the plan or supporting document(s) you are commenting on. |

**Next steps**

Once the consultation is complete, we will consider the feedback and adjust the Plan accordingly. Everyone will have a further opportunity to comment before the Plan is submitted to the Secretary of State next year.



1. # Regulation 18 of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended)

   [↑](#footnote-ref-2)